

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/524,347	03/13/2000	Joseph M. Cannon	CANNON96-87-45	6467
27964	7590 03/31/2004		EXAM	INER
HITT GAINES P.C.			NGUYEN, TU X	
P.O. BOX 832570 RICHARDSON, TX 75083			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2684	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	<b>1</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/780,497	NAKATSUGAWA, YOSHINORI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TAN TRINH	2684			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	31 December 2003.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-6 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey prection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ie Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	B/08) 5) Notice o 6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/780,497

Art Unit: 2684

# **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 5-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Reasons for allowance

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 5 and 6, the prior of record fail to teach, the repeaters of claim 4, securing the optimum communication state is selected base on information above reception condition of the antenna and bit error and echo signal of electronic wave between each repeater.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ronald (U.S. Patent No. 5,880,867).

Regarding claim 3, Ronald teaches a vehicle compartment radio LAN system (see fig. 11, col. 15, lines 31-40) comprising; the repeater mounted in front and above of a plurality of seat

- Application/Control Number: 09/780,497

Art Unit: 2684

rows (see figs. 11 and 12, col. 14, lines 32-38, and lines 62-64, col. 15, lines 1-18, lines, col. 16, lines 60-64, and col. 17, lines 3-15), and terminal positioned in one of the plurality of seat rows and configured to communicated through the repeater in same seat row by radio (see fig. 12, col. 17, lines 5-10),

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ronald (U.S. Patent No. 5,880,867).

Regarding claim 4, Ronald teaches Ronald teaches a vehicle compartment radio LAN system (see fig. 11, col. 15, lines 31-40), comprising a plurality of repeaters mounted in above each of a plurality of seat rows (see fig. 12, col. 16, lines 60 – col. 17, lines 15), and terminal positioned in one of the plurality of seat rows and configured to communicated through the repeaters in same seat row by radio (see fig. 12, col. 17, lines 5-10), Ronald the repeaters mounted in above of a plurality of seat rows (see fig. 12), that is obvious to mounted in each of a plurality of seat rows.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to modify Ronald system by the teaching the repeater mounted above of the

<sup>a</sup> Application/Control Number: 09/780,497

Art Unit: 2684

plurality of seat rows, thereto in order to provide user the located in the seat may couple a portable computer to the network via own transceiver.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Beierle (U.S. Patent No. 6,483,865) discloses wireless interface for electronic device located in enclosed spaces.

Miller (U.S. Pub. No. 20030009761) discloses mobile wireless local area network and related methods.

Gresham (U.S. Pub. No. 20020160773) discloses communications system for aircraft including wireless systems.

8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Trinh whose telephone number is (703) 305-5622. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/780,497

Art Unit: 2684

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung, can be reached at (703) 308-7745.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office** whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Tan H. Trinh Art Unit 2684 March 22, 2004

> NICK CORSAPIO PATENT EXAMINER

Primary